

BILL ROSENDAHL

City of Los Angeles Councilmember, Eleventh District

May 14, 2012

Chair, Transportation Vice Chair, Audits & Governmental Efficiency Vice Chair, Trade, Commerce & Tourism Member, Budget & Finance Member, Board of Referred Powers Chair, Ad Hoc on Social Equity Member, Ad Hoc Stadium on Proposed Downtown Stadium & Event Center

Committees

Greg Shoop City Planner Department of City Planning 200 N. Spring Street, 6th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90012

Dear Mr. Shoop:

I am writing in support of the Green Hollow Square Preservation Alternative, which would preserve and integrate the historically-designated Barry Building into the proposed GHS shopping center development project.

More than one year ago, I wrote in support of the "Preservation Alternative" identified in the Draft EIR. I now write to reemphasize that the Preservation Alternative is the superior option. Preservation, alone among the studied alternatives, accommodates the property owner's right to develop the property in a commercially viable manner, while protecting a rare and exceptional mid-century modern commercial building that was unanimously designated as a landmark by the City of Los Angeles just five years ago.

Since the proposed Final EIR was circulated, I have received telephone calls, letters and emails from hundreds of impassioned citizens, community leaders, and experts in the field of architecture, expressing a wide range of well-informed opinions. I met with the Manager of the City's Office of Historic Resources and the developer and I urged him to work with a preservation architect to integrate the Barry Building into his project. Although the developer hired esteemed preservation architect Leo Marmol, he chose not to consider a preservation alternative that would reuse the Barry Building.

The primary public debate has been between those favoring a completely new project and those seeking to protect the historic Barry Building. Fortunately, this conflict is not irreconcilable. The choice is really between a new shopping center and a new shopping center that incorporates and reuses the Barry Building as part of the project. The Preservation Alternative is preferable because it alone can achieve both the goal of creating a unique shopping center and protecting an historically-designated landmark by integrating the Barry Building. That is why I continue to support the Preservation Alternative. I cannot in good conscience and will not vote at City Council to allow this designated Cultural-Historic Landmark to be demolished, especially when the building can be preserved and reused as part of the project.

Westchester Office

7166 W. Manchester Boulevard Westchester, CA 90045 (310) 568-8772 (310) 410-3946 Fax

City Hall

200 N. Spring Street, Room 415 Los Angeles, CA 90012 (213) 473-7011 (213) 473-6926 Fax

West Los Angeles Office

1645 Corinth Avenue, Room 201 Los Angeles, CA 90025 (310) 575-8461 (310) 575-8305 Fax



The vigorous public debate over the past few months has made clear (1) the importance of protecting the City's designated landmarks; (2) the critical need to address traffic congestion along San Vicente; (3) the legitimate interests of the residents living closest to San Vicente Blvd. to retain the residential character of their neighborhood; and (4) that the Coral Tree Median, also a designated landmark, is *the* distinctive feature of San Vicente Blvd. in Brentwood, which must not be degraded by making mid-block Median cuts to facilitate the movement of traffic to accommodate commercial development.

The Green Hollow Square development can and must proceed in a manner consistent with these four guiding principles. I again urge the developer to work with a preservation architect to achieve these goals and, by doing so, to leave a legacy for the future.

Regards,

BILL ROSENDAHL

Councilmember, 11th Council District